

**PORT OF SEATTLE**  
**MEMORANDUM**

**COMMISSION AGENDA**  
**ACTION ITEM**

<b>Item No.</b>	6b
<b>Date of Meeting</b>	July 22, 2014

**DATE:** July 16, 2014  
**TO:** Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer  
**FROM:** Michael Burke, Director, Leasing and Asset Management

**SUBJECT:** Lease Termination Agreement for Terminal 5 and related amendments to crane and lease agreements for Terminal 18 and Terminal 30.

**ACTION REQUESTED**

Request Commission authorization for the Chief Executive Officer to (1) execute the Terminal 5 Lease Termination Agreement with Eagle Marine Services, Ltd. and (2) execute a conditional consent to sublease agreement and amendments consistent with the draft letter of intent in the attached exhibits to the Terminal 18 Crane and Terminal leases with SSA Terminals LLC and Terminal 30 lease with SSA Terminals (Seattle) LLC, due to negotiations associated with the most favored nations clause in the respective terminal leases triggered by the Port's Terminal 46 lease extension with Total Terminals International LLC (TTI).

**SYNOPSIS**

With the upsizing of vessels calling in the Pacific Northwest by the G6 shipping alliance, most of the container business currently being handled at Terminal 5 can no longer call at that terminal due to the size of the container cranes there. The crane size cannot be increased without a significant structural rebuild of the dock. With larger vessels, any major dock upgrade project would significantly limit vessel activity during construction. The current tenant at Terminal 5, Eagle Marine Services, Ltd. (EMS), would like to transition operations to Terminal 18.

Container operations at Terminal 5 currently generate over 5,000 direct, indirect, and induced jobs for the region. Almost all of these jobs would be preserved if the container business stays in the Port of Seattle. In order to preserve this business activity, and the related jobs, staff proposes that the Port execute the Terminal 5 Lease Termination Agreement with EMS, and a Conditional Consent to Sublease to allow SSA Terminals LLC (SSAT) at Terminal 18 to sublease to EMS. These agreements provide the Port a volume guarantee to keep the cargo and related benefits in Seattle. Without this guarantee, the volume could shift to other gateways such as Southern California or British Columbia. These agreements will also allow the Port to modernize the dock system at Terminal 5 for the larger container cranes needed to handle current and anticipated vessels expected to call Seattle.

**BACKGROUND**

Terminal 5 began operating as a container terminal in 1964. Since that time, the Port invested in significant environmental cleanup and facility improvements to create a 182-acre state-of-the-art

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 2 of 8

international container terminal, which was completed in 1997. The site is currently leased and operated by EMS, a subsidiary of American President Lines (APL). The current lease expires in 2028.

Vessels calling to the Port of Seattle have grown in size from 4,800 TEUs (twenty-foot equivalent units) in 1997 to 10,000 TEUs today with 18,000+ TEUs currently operating globally. To maintain the Port's competitive position and preserve jobs, dock and infrastructure upgrades are needed to modernize the terminal for handling current and future classes of container vessels including the capability for installing larger dual-hoist cranes. These improvements will significantly reduce the capacity of the terminal during construction by limiting the terminal to only one berth for several years.

Recently, the shipping lines APL, MOL, Hyundai, OOCL, NYK, and Hapag-Lloyd have formed the G6 container shipping alliance. The G6 plans to upsize the vessels calling in the Pacific Northwest to the 8,000 TEU class and above. This size vessel cannot be handled with the current size cranes at Terminal 5 and EMS believes it cannot feasibly operate the terminal. EMS also faces additional costs to meet current stormwater treatment regulations if the terminal remains in operation, further reducing the feasibility of remaining open. EMS has requested we work out a termination agreement of their lease. They have also indicated that a key issue in any lease settlement is how the financial accounting is handled in a long-term commitment.

EMS has previously filed a most favored nations (MFN) claim for additional concessions from the Port related to the recent TTI Terminal 46 lease extension. While our legal analysis indicates some claims had merit and others did not, any settlement could substantially reduce the financial benefit of the current lease at Terminal 5. In addition, the current lease requires the Port to maintain five functional cranes at Terminal 5 and the existing cranes are at (or close to) the end of their useful life. Due to the current dock limitations, any replacement cranes would have to be of similar capacity to the existing cranes and thus not be able to handle the larger ships planning to call in the Pacific Northwest. Replacement cost of these cranes could be \$50 million-\$60 million.

Staff is proposing the Port enter into a termination agreement with EMS that is a combination of an annual termination payment and a volume guarantee for container volume in the Port of Seattle. In addition, this agreement would facilitate the Port being able to upgrade the dock and related infrastructure at Terminal 5 so that the terminal could handle the largest ships expected to call in the Pacific Northwest in the future and eliminate the Port's obligation to provide five functional cranes. As part of the termination, EMS wants to enter into a sublease agreement at Terminal 18 to handle the volume required by its volume guarantee.

The lease amendments for Terminal 18 and Terminal 30 are required to modify the MFN clauses in the respective Terminal 18 and 30 leases and to settle claims related to the MFN clauses for rent and cranes. As discussed in the December 6, 2012, Commission memo and presentation to the Port Commission, the new land rent structure for the Terminal 46 lease with TTI required that the Port offer this new land rent structure to its other container terminal customers. Other MFN elements of the amendments are discussed further below.

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 3 of 8

### **SUMMARY OF PROPOSED AGREEMENT TERMS**

Listed below is a summary of the key terms of the agreements.

#### ***Proposed Terminal 5 Lease Termination Agreement:***

- EMS Terminal 5 Lease terminates effective July 31, 2014.
- EMS commits to a 10-year volume guarantee of 150,000 lifts per year to the Port of Seattle.
- All APL, G6, Westwood Shipping Line, and other volume from customers currently using Terminal 5 will count towards the guarantee with penalties of \$75/lift for the first 50,000 lifts to \$100/lift for shortfall volumes above 50,000 lifts.
- EMS also commits to a vessel call guarantee of 50 vessels per year of a size of 7,500 TEUs or greater with a penalty of \$100,000 per shortfall vessel call.
- The vessel shortfall penalty payable by EMS to the Port shall not apply if the number of lifts exceeds 250,000 during the same Annual Commitment Period.
- The Port's Chief Executive Officer may exercise reasonable discretion to relieve EMS of one or more vessel call obligations based on force majeure (as defined in the SSAT Terminal 18 Lease) or dry-docking schedules of APL.
- In addition to all the normal obligations of vacating the Terminal 5 lease premises, EMS shall be responsible for cleaning out the stormwater system pipelines and catch basins throughout the premises.
- EMS will transfer its industrial general stormwater permit (IGSP) to the Port, if needed, to facilitate transition of the IGSP permit when Terminal 5 resumes operations.

#### ***Proposed Terminal 18 Conditional Consent to Sublease Agreement:***

- SSAT will pay the Port \$9 million a year for 10 years. It is expected that SSAT will recover these costs from EMS as part of their sublease with EMS.
- The Port is working with EMS and SSAT to ensure the Port has enforceable rights to protect its rights to the \$9 million annual payments.

#### ***Proposed Terminal 18 Lease Amendment:***

- The MFN land rent clause definition would be enhanced to emphasize it is only related to land rent in Elliott Bay for international container terminals. The MFN clause is further amended to be a "mutual" MFN, meaning the lease rate can go both down and up for land rent if the Port's land rent at Terminal 5 and Terminal 46 (whichever is lower) increases or decreases during the remaining term of the Terminal 18 lease. SSAT can opt out of the application of the MFN clause at any time but once they do, the MFN clause for land rent is terminated and removed from the Terminal 18 lease.
- Following December 31, 2025 (expiration of the Terminal 46 lease), and every five years thereafter, the rent structure for determining the per-acre minimum annual guarantee (MAG) will be subject to a market rate adjustment.
- In between market rate adjustments, the land rent will increase every non-market rate adjustment year by not less than 2% regardless of CPI, and with the maximum increase capped at the lesser of the CPI increase or 5%.

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 4 of 8

- The Port and SSAT would handle stormwater infrastructure improvements to meet SSAT's current stormwater discharge requirements at Terminal 18 in the following manner:
  - SSAT will be solely responsible for compliance with its industrial stormwater permit at Terminal 18.
  - To the extent required to achieve regulatory compliance, SSAT would undertake construction of the stormwater infrastructure improvements ("SII") necessary to comply with its industrial stormwater permit at Terminal 18.
  - The Port, with regard to expenses incurred on or after June 1, 2013, would share with SSAT, calculated together, the design, and construction costs of the SII at Terminal 18 as follows:
    - i. \$0.00 - \$10 million: SSAT 75%; Port 25%
    - ii. \$10 million - \$20 million: SSAT 25%; Port 75%
    - iii. \$20 million and over: SSAT 50%; Port 50%

### ***Proposed Terminal 30 Lease Amendment:***

- An MFN clause will be incorporated into the lease to mirror the Terminal 18 MFN clause.
- Following December 31, 2025 (expiration of the Terminal 46 lease), and every five years thereafter, the rent structure for determining the per-acre minimum annual guarantee (MAG) will be subject to a market rate adjustment.
- In between market rate adjustments, the land rent will increase every non-market rate adjustment year by not less than 2% regardless of CPI, and with the maximum increase capped at the lesser of the CPI increase or 5%.
- No stormwater component will be included as part of this lease amendment.

### ***Proposed Terminal 18 Crane Amendment:***

IHI cranes (three cranes numbers 51, 52, and 53): SSAT will be able to use the IHI cranes free of charge as long as SSAT performs all necessary maintenance on the cranes. SSAT can request the Port to remove the cranes and the Port will have 12 months to do so once requested. SSAT is responsible for any tax liability related to crane use.

MHI cranes (three cranes numbers 70, 71, and 72): The Port will transfer ownership of the cranes to SSAT for \$1.00 a crane. The Port and SSAT will equally split the cost of any sales tax related to the transfer of ownership.

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 5 of 8

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

#### ***Budget Status and Source of Funds***

The proposed actions reduce sources of cash as assumed in the Port's 2014 Plan of Finance and will create unfavorable Net Operating Income variances relative to the 2014 Budget and current 2014 Full Year Forecast. The following table summarizes the impact of the actions on August through December 2014 Net Operating Income for Terminals 5 and 18.

<b>Net Operating Income \$'s 000</b>	<b>Without Lease Termination</b>	<b>With Lease Termination</b>	<b>Change</b>
2014 Budget (Aug.-Dec.)	\$18,377	\$12,377	(\$6,000)
2014 Forecast (Aug.-Dec.)	\$16,242	\$11,177	(\$5,065)

Note:\* Forecast is lower than Budget because volumes and related crane rent and intermodal revenues are based on more current assumptions.

The proposed Terminal 18 Lease and Crane Amendments will also require the Port to contribute to the design and construction costs of the Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements at Terminal 18 and share in any sales tax obligation for crane transfer. The extent and timing of the required investment has not yet been estimated, but this investment requirement was not anticipated in the 2014 Capital Budget.

<b>CIP Category</b>	Not applicable
<b>Project Type</b>	Not applicable
<b>Risk adjusted discount rate</b>	7.5%
<b>Key risk factors</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tenant is being released from long-term lease agreement running through August 2028 for a shorter-term volume commitment and elimination of the Port's potential obligation to provide 5 new cranes at Terminal 5.</li><li>• Lower revenue will reduce the future borrowing capacity of the Seaport Division and could impact the Port's overall credit rating.</li><li>• Uncertainty with regard to cost of stormwater infrastructure improvements at Terminal 18 and potential stormwater related requirements at Terminal 5.</li><li>• Uncertainty with regard to potential sales tax liability associated with not charging crane rent and transferring of crane ownership at Terminal 18.</li></ul>
<b>Project cost for analysis</b>	Cost of Terminal 18 Stormwater Improvements not yet estimated
<b>Business Unit (BU)</b>	Seaport Division – Container Operations

## COMMISSION AGENDA

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 6 of 8

<p><b>Effect on business performance</b></p>	<p>Following is an estimate of the incremental impacts the Terminal 5 Lease Termination and Terminal 18 Agreement to Sub-Lease will have on Net Operating Income (NOI) to the Seaport Division for 2014 through 2019: Note that impact is compared against Alternative 1 whereby Terminal 5 would be held to lease obligation through August 2028.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 541 1401 703"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>NOI (in \$million's)</b></th> <th><b>2014</b></th> <th><b>2015</b></th> <th><b>2016</b></th> <th><b>2017</b></th> <th><b>2018</b></th> <th><b>2019</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td>(\$5.1)</td> <td>(\$15.1)</td> <td>(\$15.1)</td> <td>(\$14.9)</td> <td>(\$15.3)</td> <td>(\$15.8)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expenses</td> <td>(0.0)</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>NOI</b></td> <td><b>(\$5.1)</b></td> <td><b>(\$15.1)</b></td> <td><b>(\$15.1)</b></td> <td><b>(\$14.9)</b></td> <td><b>(\$15.3)</b></td> <td><b>(\$15.8)</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>NOI (in \$million's)</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	Revenue	(\$5.1)	(\$15.1)	(\$15.1)	(\$14.9)	(\$15.3)	(\$15.8)	Expenses	(0.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>NOI</b>	<b>(\$5.1)</b>	<b>(\$15.1)</b>	<b>(\$15.1)</b>	<b>(\$14.9)</b>	<b>(\$15.3)</b>	<b>(\$15.8)</b>																										
<b>NOI (in \$million's)</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>																																																	
Revenue	(\$5.1)	(\$15.1)	(\$15.1)	(\$14.9)	(\$15.3)	(\$15.8)																																																	
Expenses	(0.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0																																																	
<b>NOI</b>	<b>(\$5.1)</b>	<b>(\$15.1)</b>	<b>(\$15.1)</b>	<b>(\$14.9)</b>	<b>(\$15.3)</b>	<b>(\$15.8)</b>																																																	
<p><b>IRR/NPV</b></p>	<p>The incremental NPV impact of the proposed Terminal 5 lease termination and sublease with Terminal 18 is shown below. The analysis assumes that Terminal 5 current crane minimums are in place through 2016 for fixed rate cranes and through 2019 for tariff cranes, and intermodal minimums are in effect for term of lease.</p> <p><b>Financial Impacts vs. Alternative 1</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1039 1284 1717"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Agreement Impact Through August 2028</b></th> <th><b>NPV in \$millions</b></th> <th><b>Nominal \$'s in \$millions</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b><u>Terminal 5 Termination</u></b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pref Use and Space Rent</td> <td>(\$202.4)</td> <td>(\$352.7)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Special Improve Rent</td> <td>(14.9)</td> <td>(23.7)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Crane Rent</td> <td>(5.0)</td> <td>(6.0)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IY Revenue</td> <td>(6.0)</td> <td>(10.0)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st POC Payment</td> <td>(4.5)</td> <td>(7.2)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stormwater Fees</td> <td>(5.4)</td> <td>(8.7)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sublease Payments</td> <td>66.4</td> <td>90.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Sub-Total</b></td> <td><b>(\$171.8)</b></td> <td><b>(\$318.3)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b><u>Terminal 18 Sublease</u></b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pref Use and Space Rent</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>5.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Crane Rent</td> <td>(3.6)</td> <td>(3.8)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IY Revenue</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>2.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHI Cranes - 50% Sales Tax</td> <td>(0.4)</td> <td>(0.4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stormwater Infrastructure</td> <td>(9.2)</td> <td>(11.0)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Sub-Total</b></td> <td><b>(\$9.3)</b></td> <td><b>(\$6.8)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>(\$181.2)</b></td> <td><b>(\$325.1)</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Agreement Impact Through August 2028</b>	<b>NPV in \$millions</b>	<b>Nominal \$'s in \$millions</b>	<b><u>Terminal 5 Termination</u></b>			Pref Use and Space Rent	(\$202.4)	(\$352.7)	Special Improve Rent	(14.9)	(23.7)	Crane Rent	(5.0)	(6.0)	IY Revenue	(6.0)	(10.0)	1st POC Payment	(4.5)	(7.2)	Stormwater Fees	(5.4)	(8.7)	Sublease Payments	66.4	90.0	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>(\$171.8)</b>	<b>(\$318.3)</b>	<b><u>Terminal 18 Sublease</u></b>			Pref Use and Space Rent	2.4	5.7	Crane Rent	(3.6)	(3.8)	IY Revenue	1.5	2.7	MHI Cranes - 50% Sales Tax	(0.4)	(0.4)	Stormwater Infrastructure	(9.2)	(11.0)	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>(\$9.3)</b>	<b>(\$6.8)</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>(\$181.2)</b>	<b>(\$325.1)</b>
<b>Agreement Impact Through August 2028</b>	<b>NPV in \$millions</b>	<b>Nominal \$'s in \$millions</b>																																																					
<b><u>Terminal 5 Termination</u></b>																																																							
Pref Use and Space Rent	(\$202.4)	(\$352.7)																																																					
Special Improve Rent	(14.9)	(23.7)																																																					
Crane Rent	(5.0)	(6.0)																																																					
IY Revenue	(6.0)	(10.0)																																																					
1st POC Payment	(4.5)	(7.2)																																																					
Stormwater Fees	(5.4)	(8.7)																																																					
Sublease Payments	66.4	90.0																																																					
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>(\$171.8)</b>	<b>(\$318.3)</b>																																																					
<b><u>Terminal 18 Sublease</u></b>																																																							
Pref Use and Space Rent	2.4	5.7																																																					
Crane Rent	(3.6)	(3.8)																																																					
IY Revenue	1.5	2.7																																																					
MHI Cranes - 50% Sales Tax	(0.4)	(0.4)																																																					
Stormwater Infrastructure	(9.2)	(11.0)																																																					
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>(\$9.3)</b>	<b>(\$6.8)</b>																																																					
<b>Total</b>	<b>(\$181.2)</b>	<b>(\$325.1)</b>																																																					

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 7 of 8

	The incremental NPV impact of the lease amendments for Terminal 18 and Terminal 30 for years beyond 2025 is summarized below:		
	<b>Financial Impact of Change in Post 12/31/2025 Rent Structure</b>		
	<b>Agreement Impact - Jan 1, 2026 thru Aug 2, 2039</b>	<b>NPV in \$millions</b>	<b>Nominal \$'s in \$millions</b>
	Terminal 18	(\$107.3)	(\$389.8)
	Terminal 30	(38.4)	(139.6)
	<b>Total</b>	<b><u>(\$145.8)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$529.4)</u></b>

### ***Community Benefits***

These agreements, with their volume guarantee, will help preserve the economic benefits, including the jobs that are generated by the business that has been using Terminal 5.

### **ALTERNATIVES AND IMPLICATIONS CONSIDERED**

**Alternative 1)** – Do nothing and hold EMS to its lease obligations. EMS will at some point pursue actions to terminate the lease. Since EMS is not in bankruptcy, any financial settlement would likely be substantial and greater than the proposed termination settlement. This could be reduced by the value of the Port's obligation to replace cranes and any MFN claim settlement. There would be no volume guarantee and almost all of the current business at Terminal 5, and the jobs related to that business, would be lost to Seattle and potentially to the region.

**Alternative 2)** – Negotiate a pure financial settlement for lease termination. EMS would not want to do this immediately with the potential financial accounting issues. This alternative would look almost identical to Alternative 1 with that issue.

**Alternative 3)** – Proceed with the proposed termination agreement giving the Port some financial compensation and the volume guarantee to preserve the job benefits from this business. This does not resolve any MFN claims related to the Terminal 18 and Terminal 30 leases.

**Alternative 4)** – Proceed with the proposed termination agreement and related lease and crane agreement amendments at Terminal 18 and Terminal 30, giving the Port some financial compensation and the volume guarantee to preserve the job benefits from this business. This also settles claims related to MFN clauses for Terminal 18 and Terminal 30 leases and provides a framework for transitioning out of the MFN clauses in the future. This also allows for accommodations to help keep Westwood Shipping Lines in the Port of Seattle. ***This is the recommended alternative.***

## **COMMISSION AGENDA**

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

July 16, 2014

Page 8 of 8

## **ATTACHMENTS TO THIS REQUEST**

- Proposed Terminal 5 Lease Termination Agreement (draft) with EMS.
- Draft Letter of Intent with SSAT related to proposed lease and crane agreement amendments and consent to sublease agreement.

## **PREVIOUS COMMISSION ACTIONS OR BRIEFINGS**

- May 18, 2014: Commission briefing on the dock upgrade program at Terminal 5 to make it “big ship” ready.
- December 6, 2012: Commission meeting presentation of the proposed 13th lease amendment to the Terminal 46 lease to extend the lease term and change the land rent structure to a minimum annual guarantee of revenue per acre plus a container volume lift rate fee. This amendment also obligated the Port to certain capital improvements and maintenance items for this facility.